



**ASPIRATIONS**

# Malpractice Policy – Exams

Magna Academy

**Approved by:**

**Date:** September 2024

**Last reviewed on:**

September 2025

**Next review due by:**

September 2026

This policy is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that any malpractice at Magna Academy is managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Reference in the policy to **GR** and **SMPP** relate to relevant sections of the current JCQ publications **General Regulations for Approved Centres** and **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**.

## **Introduction**

### **What is malpractice and maladministration?**

'Malpractice' and 'maladministration' are related concepts, the common theme of which is that they involve a failure to follow the rules of an examination or assessment. This policy and procedure uses the word 'malpractice' to cover both 'malpractice' and 'maladministration' and it means any act, default or practice which is:

- a breach of the Regulations
- a breach of awarding body requirements regarding how a qualification should be delivered
- a failure to follow established procedures in relation to a qualification
  - which:
- gives rise to prejudice to candidates
- compromises public confidence in qualifications
- compromises, attempts to compromise or may compromise the process of assessment, the integrity of any qualification or the validity of a result or certificate
- damages the authority, reputation or credibility of any awarding body or centre or any officer, employee or agent of any awarding body or centre (SMPP 1)

### **Candidate malpractice**

'Candidate malpractice' means malpractice by a candidate in connection with any examination or assessment, including the preparation and authentication of any controlled assessments, coursework or non-examination assessments, the presentation of any practical work, the compilation of portfolios of assessment evidence and the writing of any examination paper. (SMPP 2)

### **Centre staff malpractice**

'Centre staff malpractice' means malpractice committed by:

- a member of staff, contractor (whether employed under a contract of employment or a contract for services) or a volunteer at a centre; or

- an individual appointed in another capacity by a centre such as an invigilator, a Communication Professional, a Language Modifier, a practical assistant, a prompter, a reader or a scribe (SMPP 2)

### **Suspected malpractice**

For the purposes of this document, suspected malpractice means all alleged or suspected incidents of malpractice. (SMPP 2)

### **Purpose of the policy**

To confirm Magna Academy:

- has in place a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre and details how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body (GR 5.3)

### **General principles**

In accordance with the regulations Magna Academy will:

- Take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after examinations have taken place (GR 5.11)
- Inform the awarding body immediately of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration, involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation (GR 5.11)
- As required by an awarding body, gather evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice (which includes maladministration) in accordance with the JCQ publication **Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures** and provide such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably require (GR 5.11)

### **Preventing malpractice**

Magna Academy has in place:

- Robust processes to prevent and identify malpractice, as outlined in section 3 of the JCQ publication **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**. (SMPP 4.3)

### **AI Use in Assessments**

AI refers to the use of AI tools to obtain information and content which might be used in work produced for assessment which lead towards qualifications. The use of AI may pose significant risks if used by students completing qualification assessments.

To prevent misuse, Magna Academy will

- Ensure that access to online AI tools is restricted on centre devices used for exams
- Set reasonable deadline for submission of work and providing reminders

- Allocate time for sufficient portions of work to be done in class under direct supervision to allow the teacher to authenticate each students' whole work with confidence
- Examine intermediate stages in the production of work in order to ensure that work is underway in a planned and timely manner
- Do not accept, without further investigation, work which staff suspect has been taken from AI tools without proper acknowledgement or otherwise plagiarised.

Staff at Magna Academy will identify the misuse of AI by students by reviewing a given piece of work to ensure its authenticity by comparing newly submitted work with work completed by the student in the classroom or under supervised conditions.

- This includes ensuring that all staff involved in the delivery of assessments and examinations understand the requirements for conducting these as specified in the following JCQ documents and any further awarding body guidance:
  - *General Regulations for Approved Centres 2025-2026*
  - *Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE) 2025-2026*
  - *Instructions for conducting coursework 2025-2026*
  - *Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments 2025-2026*
  - *Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2025-2026*
  - *A guide to the special consideration process 2025-2026*
  - *Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures 2025-2026*
  - *Plagiarism in Assessments*
  - *AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications 2024 - 2025*
  - *A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes 2024-2025 (SMPP 3.3.1)*

### **Informing and advising candidates**

- Candidates are advised on exam and assessment procedures and processes before embarking on each task either verbally or in writing
- Candidates are guided on the correct method of referencing for any quotes used which are not of their own or websites used
- Proper acknowledgement is made for AI usage as guided by the JCQ document on *AI use in Assessments*
- Before each external examination, an Information to Candidates document is presented which highlights the protection and danger of malpractice including AI use

### **Identification and reporting of malpractice**

#### **Escalating suspected malpractice issues**

- Once suspected malpractice is identified, any member of staff at the centre can report it using the appropriate channels (SMPP 4.3)

#### **Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body**

- The head of centre will notify the appropriate awarding body immediately of all alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice, using the appropriate forms, and will conduct any investigation and gathering of information in accordance with the requirements of the JCQ publication **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures** (SMPP 4.1.3)
  - The head of centre will ensure that where a candidate who is a child/vulnerable adult is the subject of a malpractice investigation, the candidate's parent/carer/ appropriate adult is kept informed of the progress of the investigation (SMPP 4.1.3)
  - Form JCQ/M1 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of candidate malpractice. Form JCQ/M2 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of suspected staff malpractice/maladministration (SMPP 4.4, 4.6)
  - Malpractice by a candidate discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non- examination assessment component prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication need not be reported to the awarding body but will be dealt with in accordance with the centre's internal procedures. The only exception to this is where the awarding body's confidential assessment material has potentially been breached. The breach will be reported to the awarding body immediately (SMPP 4.5)
  - If, in the view of the investigator, there is sufficient evidence to implicate an individual in malpractice, that individual (a candidate or a member of staff) will be informed of the rights of accused individuals (SMPP 5.33)
  - Once the information gathering has concluded, the head of centre (or other appointed information-gatherer) will submit a written report summarising the information obtained and actions taken to the relevant awarding body, accompanied by the information obtained during the course of their enquiries (5.35)
  - Form JCQ/M1 will be used when reporting candidate cases; for centre staff, form JCQ/M3 will be used (SMPP 5.37)
  - The awarding body will decide on the basis of the report, and any supporting documentation, whether there is evidence of malpractice and if any further investigation is required. The head of centre will be informed accordingly (SMPP 5.40)

### **Communicating malpractice decisions**

Once a decision has been made, it will be communicated in writing to the head of centre as soon as possible. The head of centre will communicate the decision to the individuals concerned and pass on details of any sanctions and action in cases where this is indicated. The head of centre will also inform the individuals if they have the right to appeal. (SMPP 11.1)

### **Appeals against decisions made in cases of malpractice**

Magna Academy will:

- Provide the individual with information on the process and timeframe for submitting an appeal, where relevant
- Refer to further information and follow the process provided in the JCQ publication **A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes**